Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,834	BARTH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANTHONY MACKOWEY	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>ANTHONY MACKOWEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) James Rowland (Reg. No.32, 674).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 June 2008	Time: <u>2:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 26 and 27		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicants representative, Mr. Rowland, to clarify claims 26 and 27. Specifically, that the four partial images are captured at the four vertexes of the scan pattern and therefore do not form the scan pattern, rather the scan pattern results in the images. Examiner suggested an amendment to clarify that the scan pattern had four vertexes and that the enlarged image field is what is formed by generating and combining the four partial images. It was agreed an Examiners Amendment would be entered to make such a clarification in claims 26 and 27.